



3 captures  
23 Mar 06 - 12 Oct 11

http://wmb1.com/portico/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=25

Go

NOV OCT NOV [Close](#)

2006 2011 2012 [Help](#)

12



Search

\_TOPICS

\_ALLTOPICS

- [WMB1Home](#)
  - [Your Account](#)
  - [FAQ](#)
  - [Topics](#)
  - [Content](#)
  - [Submit News](#)
  - [Top 10](#)
- [Login/Create an Account](#) March 12, 2016

English to Spanish

FREE Translation

## Welcome to WMB1 Document Portal

### Modules

- [Home](#)
- [AvantGo](#)
- [Content](#)
- [Private Messages](#)
- [Recommend Us](#)
- [Search](#)
- [Stories Archive](#)
- [Top 10](#)
- [Topics](#)
- [Your Account](#)

### Search WMB1DocuPortal



All Topics

Articles

All Authors

All

Search on:  Stories

English to Spanish

FREE Translation

### William Branham's Ministry : He Lied : Part 1

Posted on Wednesday, March 17 @ 14:21:54 EST by [WMB1](#)

DISCUSSION ABOUT BRANHAM'S MINISTRY  
Part 1



Subject: **Re: It's only satan**  
Date: 8/1/03 William Branham lied..

William Branham claims that on 2/28/1963 he was up in Sabino Canyon 40 miles northeast of Tucson, when he was visited by seven angels who descended to him in the form of an inverted pyramid "V". When they departed he claimed a circular cloud appeared over him and it gradually rose higher and higher going up to the level of 140,000 feet. He made reference to this mystery cloud in a sermon "Rising of the Sun" preached in Jeffersonville on 4/18/1965. This is over two years from the time the mystery cloud appeared.

Here are some facts:  
... read more ...

Branham claimed these seven angels instructed him to go back to Jeffersonville, it was time to reveal the seven seals. The visit by the angels was also the cause of an alleged blast so loud and strong that hugh bolders rolled down mountain sides where Branham was at. According to Fred Sothman, a Canadian who moved to Tucson in 1962 to be near Branham, he, Branham and Gene Norman were up in the mountains hunting Javelina when these angels supposedly came to Branham.

### Article's Poll

#### Is This A Fair Representation of William Branham

- Yes
- No
- Very Good
- I Am Mad
- I Need To Make Comments

[ [Results](#) | [WMB1Poll](#) ]

Votes: **34**  
Comments: **881**

### Related Links

- [More about Con William Branham](#)
- [News by WMB1](#)

### Most read story about Con William Branham:

- Comments
  - Users
- Search

**Who's Online**

There are currently, 1 guest(s) and 0 member(s) that are online.

You are Anonymous user. You can register for free by clicking [here](#)

**Languages**

Select Interface Language:

English ▼

Here is Branham's quote:

"Brother Branham said: 2-2 Science took the picture all the way from Mexico as it moved from northern Arizona, where the Holy Spirit said I would be standing (forty miles northeast of Tucson). And it went into the air, and "Life" magazine packed the pictures: a mystic something way in the spheres there there can be no moisture, where there can be no evaporations of anything, thirty miles high and twenty-seven miles across and coming right up from where those Angels were.

Branham lied! The cloud as he said went tight up from where those angels were and he alleged it was the same cloud that appeared the same evening at sundown overhead in Flagstaff, 190 miles to the North. It is very clear that they mystery cloud that "did" appear over Flagstaff on the evening of 2/28/1962 continued moving in a west to east direction at the 140,000 ft level even 28 minutes after sundown and its shape over this half an hour time changed drastically until it looked like a hangman's noose. Since the mystery cloud was moving in the normal direction of the high winds at that height, and since Branham claimed the cloud went up in the air, we can assume the cloud acted like all other normal vapor or smoke clouds in association to wind direction and speed. There were no winds of any speed on 2/28/1963 that was blowing vapor or smoke clouds from Tucson toward Flagstaff on this date. Branham did not claim the angels went up to 140,000 feet and left a circle cloud. He did not say he say the angels fly to the north toward Flagstaff and somewhere in that direction released or caused this circle cloud.

He said the cloud went right up from where those angels were that visited him. This means the circle cloud had to rise up over Sabino Canyon, continue on rising higher and higher until it was at the 140,000 height. And then, in order for it to be overhead in Flagstaff at 1840 MST, it had to travel 190 miles in a short time. Branham lied, Sothman lied, and Gene Norman lied. William Branham lied..

William Branham claims that on 2/28/1963 he was up in Sabino Canyon 40 miles northeast of Tucson, when he was visited by seven angels who descended to him in the form of an inverted pyramid "V". When they departed he claimed a circular cloud appeared over him and it gradually rose higher and higher going up to the level of 140,000 feet. He made reference to this mystery cloud in a sermon "Rising of the Sun" preached in Jeffersonville on 4/18/1965. This is over two years from the time the mystery cloud appeared.

Here are some facts:

Branham claimed these seven angles instructed him to go back to Jeffersonville, it was time to reveal the seven seals. The visit by the angels was also the cause of an alleged blast so loud and strong that hugh bolders rolled down mountain sides where Branham was at. According to Fred Sothman, a Canadian who moved to Tucson in 1962 to be near Branham, he, Branham and Gene Norman were up in the mountains hunting Javelina when these angels supposedly came to Branham.

Here is Branham's quote:

"Brother Branham said: 2-2 Science took the picture all the way from Mexico as it moved from northern Arizona, where the Holy Spirit said I would be standing (forty miles northeast of Tucson). And it went into the air, and "Life" magazine packed the pictures: a mystic something way in the spheres there there can be no moisture, where there can be no evaporations of anything, thirty miles high and twenty-seven miles across and coming right up from where those Angels were.

William Branham's Ministry : He Lied : Part 1

**Article Rating**

Average Score: **1.8**  
Votes: **5**



Please take a second and vote for this article:

- ★★★★★
- ★★★★★
- ★★★★★
- ★★★★★
- ★★★★★

Cast my Vote!

**Options**

[Printer Friendly Page](#)

[Send to a Friend](#)

Here is a man who claimed such a dynamic visitation with blast and circle clouds and yet his conduct soon afterward was not at all the least affected. The stories do not match. Sothman said they were up there hunting javelina. Branham claimed different. He claimed in his message at Jeffersonville on 3/17/1963 the following:

"On early morning."

"I got up into Sabino Canyon."

"I want to be honest."

"I'm more inclined to believe that it was a vision."

"I had my hands out saying, : Lord, what does this blast mean, and what does these seven angels in a constellation of, of the pyramid, picking me up from off the ground and turning me eastward: what does it mean?"

Branham then goes into a strange account of holding a King's sword.

He makes no mention of Sothman or Norman being with him on this trip.

He makes no mention of hunting javelina.

He makes no mention of THE CLOUD!

The story Sothman concocted years later is a lie. He claims the Cloud and angels visited Branham when they were on a hunting trip together and Branham claimed he was alone when the blast and cloud appeared. Who is lying here?

Here are some more facts.

Branham claimed he he went up to the mountain early in the morning. He claims the cloud appeared early that same morning. Yet according to recorded facts about the mystery cloud, it was being blown by 130mph winds at the 140,000 foot height going from due West to due East, AND IT WAS 6:40pm in the evening right at sundown when it was passing over Flagstaff 190 miles north of Tucson. No winds were blowing from Sabino Canyon toward Flagstaff, South to North, at any height that day.

Branham made a big deal of this mystery cloud when he discovered it via newspapers and magazines. He used this cloud and his claim it was caused by seven angles who visited him, to make him look more the prophet Elijah. He placed a lot of emphasis on this mystery cloud until he boasted of it a whole year before he was killed on Christmass eve by a drunk driver in Texas. He came out with this claim the cloud was made by the angels in his message dated 4/18/65. He was to live just over eight months after this message!

Here are some more things to think about:

If anyone else had such an encounter with seven angels and a blast so violent it shook rocks off mountains, it would be something you soon told. The next time you were before a congregation you would mention it. Especially if the angels picked you up by the hair of your head and turned you eastward.

The first message Branham preached after the mystery cloud appeared on 2/28/93, was in Houston, Texas on 3/04/1963. You can read the transcript of this message over and over and not find any mention of the CLOUD or ANGELS or BLAST that shook rocks down mountain sides. Now 2/28/1963 was on a Thursday. He went back home that day and told no one. Get that, he told no one about what he supposedly had experienced, not even his wife! He did not call anyone and tell them, not a single member of the 600 size congregation there. He went to bed that night and got up Friday (April 1) and departed

for Houston, Texas. He arrived in Houston on Sunday April 3rd. On Monday, April 4th he preached his sermon "A Absolute" <http://nathan.co.za/message.asp?sermonum=918>. Get that, four days after this explosive encounter and the cloud he is preaching in Houston and NEVER MENTIONS THESE THINGS!

He departed from Houston and NEVER TOLD A SOUL!

Branham then goes to Jeffersonville where he preached on 3/17/63 "God Hiding." He again MENTIONS NOTHING OF THE CLOUD!

He preached on 3/17; 3/18; 3/19; 3/20; 3/21; 3/22; 3/23; and 3/24 in Jeffersonville revealing the seven seals. In his message entitled The Breach Between The Seven Church Ages And The Seven Seals (63-0317E) he mentions the seven angels and the pyramid shape of their descent. He NEVER MENTIONS THE CLOUD. All he mentions is the V shape of the angels as he says they appeared to him.

The facts are clear. Branham did not make use of the mystery cloud until after he learned of it when it was published in Science Magazine on April 19, 1963. Branham did not discover this magazine until many months after it came out. It was the article in Life Magazine on May 17, 1963 that the cloud first caught his attention and he made use of it. All the pictures of the mystery cloud used by Branhamites come from the stories in these magazines. Branham outright lied when he said this cloud is the same one he claims the seven angels made when they came and departed from him.

The fact is, this cloud is not a vapor cloud at all. It is a cloud of smoke and the remains of rocket fuel from a Thor rocket that had been launched the afternoon of 3/28/1963 at 1:52PST at Vandenburg, California. The rocket was blown up at 147,000 feet because of a malfunction. At this height there was a west to east wind blowing around 130mph. The smoke from the explosion formed the circle shaped cloud. The jet stream winds carried the cloud due east from Vandenburg toward Flagstaff, Arizona. Because of some lower clouds this one was not observed in Arizona until around sunset. The cloud remained sunlit for 28 minutes after official sundown in Flagstaff. Giving account for the curvature of the earth and it being seen as far away as Elpasso, Texas it was estimated to be 140,000-147,000 feet high exactly on the same level as the smoke and vaporized rocket fuel of the destroyed Thor rocket.

The issue is always made out that water vapor does not exist at this height because it is between -40 and -60 degrees. It is claimed that because it is not natural for such a cloud at this height except over the Arctic (nacreous clouds). Therefore it is a miracle cloud and angels visiting Branham created it. Reports from all the magazines and from James McDonald are used to prove the cloud is a miracle cloud and has no earthly explanation. From this a leap is made to say this verifies and validifies Branham's claim it was created when the angels visited him 40 miles north of Tucson.

As stated already, there was no wind blowing from Tucson toward Flagstaff that day at any elevation. And do not tell me the angels carried the cloud 190 miles north and then released it to then be blown further East by the West jet stream.

The cloud hoax is another of Branham's lies. It is another lie still used by Branhamites to make his false doctrines acceptable by means of these miracles.

Branham lied. The mystery cloud came from the explosion of a rocket, not by seven angels.

The fact that Branham did not mention this cloud BEFORE he learned of it from newspapers and magazines, is easily seen. If he had mentioned this cloud in his Houston trip we could come better believing him. He did not and to me this translates that he never saw this cloud and he never saw these alleged angels forming a cloud.

Pastor Reckart

Subject: cloud mass mailout

To whom it may concern,

Unfortunately I am unable to send a mass response to the list I was lumped into. I also do not know who started this contention concerning the 1963 cloud.

It was my first reaction to just delete the email. Then several other contributing emails arrived.

I suppose I will have to send this bit of info several emails at a time.

I find it remarkable 40 years after the fact, there are those with subversive agendas who claim to know more about the facts of the appearance of the February, 1963 cloud, than the Atmospheric Doctor of Physics who investigated it, FOR MONTHS. Dr McDonald was not only there, (in Arizona) at the time of this event, he also had the budget and military cooperation to investigate this phenomenon.

Apparently it becomes incidental Dr McDonald could not reconcile all the problematic issues that arose when trying to explain this occurrence. Some even quote hearsay of Dr McDonald's opinions. For those who may be interested, there are public documents that clearly outline Dr McDonald's findings during his investigation. (Unbiased information, I may add.)

A reprint of Dr. McDonald's Cloud Investigation Supplement is posted on the website link below. Go to "Quote Books" and scroll nearly to the bottom for the Cloud articles reprints.

Click on the link "Dr. McDonald's Cloud Investigation Supplement 1963."  
[www.messagequotes.8m.net](http://www.messagequotes.8m.net)

This 6 page supplement went to each person who submitted photos to him for use in his investigation of the cloud. (photos reported to be over 100 in number) This report was written AFTER the magazine articles were submitted for press.

The date is May 31, 1963. (June Weatherwise text copy had been sent in March for print)

There are points within this supplement, (that Dr McDonald made very clear) caused him uncertainty of the mysterious origin of the cloud. Dr McDonald, contrary to various reports, never publicly or privately ascertained the cloud was caused by a Thor booster rocket detonation from Vandenberg AFB.

Here are several pertinent points that are considered within this report.

1. After field survey of actual sites where photos had been taken, the cloud size was revised to 27 miles high, a mile higher than reported in Life magazine.

"The survey work and the interviews in and around Flagstaff have revealed that the southern tip of the cloud lay about ten miles north of Flagstaff at about 1840 MST. This implies that the range of the cloud from Tucson was greater than the initially assumed 190 miles. Using the revised range plus the angles of elevation previously computed from the four photos taken in Tucson gives an altitude of 43 km, which equals about 140,000 ft. or almost 27 miles.

This revised altitude takes the cloud still further above the height-interval of even Arctic nacreous clouds, and puts it disconcertingly near the base of an "exclusion region" of the mesosphere in which the temperatures are so high (due to ozone absorption of solar ultraviolet radiation) that the saturation vapor pressure of water (or ice) exceeds the total ambient air pressure. In that exclusion region (typically from about 42 km to about 65 km), no water drops or ice particles can exist. Water can be present there only in vapor form. The indicated altitude of the Flagstaff cloud is slightly above the mean base of this exclusion layer, adding further interest to the entire phenomenon."

2. By calculations made from 6 photos taken over a recorded half hour period from Lordsburg, NM, the cloud drift speed was estimated at 95 mph, lending very little credibility to the origin of the cloud being that of a Thor booster rocket detonation fired from Vandenberg AFB.

"On April 20, I went to Lordsburg, N. M., to survey the site of a series of six photos taken by H. J. McSpadden. These six were of special value to the study because they were taken over a period of approximately one-half hour, at recorded times."

"Although precise photogrammetry has not been worked out to yield final motion estimates, preliminary analysis of the McSpadden photos from Lordsburg indicate an eastward cloud drift speed of 95 mph for the upper limit, and 77 mph for the lower limit, the uncertainty being due to the unfortunate fact that, on the very first shot of this series, the time was uncertain to two minutes."

Dr. McDonald was in contact with Mr. E. E. Clary, Chief Scientist at Vandenberg who kindly provided unclassified information concerning the booster rocket flight.

"The booster was destroyed at an altitude of 146,000 ft, almost directly overhead (but a bit south) of Vandenberg AFB at 1352 PST. As soon as this information was received, it appeared to offer the first solid clue as to the Flagstaff cloud's origin. The altitude at detonation was close to the photogrammetrically estimated cloud altitude, and the time of detonation made it necessary to consider very seriously the possibility that the

Flagstaff cloud was some aftermath of the detonation. A crucial question was whether the time interval between the detonation over Vandenburg and the passage of the cloud over Flagstaff would match photogrammetrically estimated cloud-drift speed and/or other independent wind-speed observations."

"Taking 1840 MST as the time of passage over Flagstaff, the 1452 MST ( = 1352 PST) detonation time implies a hypothetical drift-time of 3 hours and 48 minutes. The airline distance to Vandenburg from Flagstaff is 510 miles, so the minimum mean drift speed required to associate these two events is very nearly 135 mph."

Based upon photos taken from Lordsburg, he estimated the wind drift speed on the 28th as being only 77-95 mph aloft, a far cry from the necessary 135 mph.

3. It is interesting no one wishes to address one of the most baffling parts of the Vandenburg connection to this mystery. Dr McDonald was puzzled by the TWO high altitude clouds photographed together on that day. Two high altitude clouds were photographed, if one is attributed to the Thor booster detonation, then what about the other which is still a mystery to this day?

The following is again from the six page report Dr. McDonald wrote May 31, 1963 p. 5 paragraph C.

Unsettling questions.

" At this writing, further inquiries are being sent to the Chief Scientist of the Pacific Missile Range, to whom I have been directed by Vandenburg AFB for further information. It is hoped that phototheodolite movie records of the detonation may exist and may yield data on the initial drift speed and drift direction of the explosion cloud. Also, the initial configuration of the explosion cloud will be sought, along with information on possible presence of a side-explosion to the west of the main explosion. The latter item bears on the interesting problem of the "SECONDARY CLOUD" west of the main one at Flagstaff. Many photos and still more letter-descriptions establish the presence of a smaller, roughly similar CLOUD some twenty miles west of the main ring. This cloud was definitely seen to FORM AND TO DISSIPATE during the period of prominent visibility of the main cloud."

"Photos show it to have a more pear-shaped form than the main ring; and a short fish-tail formation existed at its northern extremity. It is difficult to see how a single detonation over Vandenburg could have also led to this secondary cloud. Mr Clary, at Vandenburg, was fairly certain that no side explosions occurred."

4. Amazingly, for the theory of the origin of the cloud to have been directly above Vandenberg AFB, (on the California Pacific coast) then drift 510 miles in 3 hours and 48 minutes, there were NO SIGHTINGS OF THE CLOUD, no photos taken of the cloud IN CALIFORNIA. It should be noted, Santa Monica and Los Angeles would have been prime areas of observation. Please also note the height of the cloud was so great it was viewed as far away as Mexico and Colorado. No mention of low cloud cover

obscuring the observation of the Thor booster rocket detonation was mentioned by Vandenberg AFB personnel.

"Queries have been sent out to about twenty-five small-town papers in Arizona and surrounding states, and an A. P. wire story has gone much farther, asking for observational details. No sightings seem to have been made in California or Nevada, but some distant observations were reported from localities to the east.

Evidently many saw it in central New Mexico, reports coming from Santa Fe, Albuquerque, Alamogordo, Truth and Consequences, and Las Cruces. It was reliably reported by a woman in Center, Colorado. But the most distant sighting reliably checked was made in Juarez, Mexico, by J. H. Buels, of El Paso, Texas. Mr. Buels' location was approximately 380 miles from the cloud!"

Reports from the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, in Tucson are that McDonald, "convinced himself and the others here at the Institute that the cloud was caused by the release of water into the upper atmosphere by the detonation of a rocket fired from Vandenberg." Yet this is only hearsay.

Any self respecting scientist in the face of his peers would probably do the same, yet by his own public and private correspondence, this particular cloud was still a mystery to McDonald.

According to Dr McDonald's documentation the cloud was indeed a mystery to him: "The true nature of the cloud cannot yet be regarded as known"

Because most of us associate the cloud with the black and white print we are so familiar with, it may come as a surprise this event was indeed in color. Many eyewitnesses claim that the cloud was the most beautiful cloud they had ever seen.

A quote from the Science Magazine article written by Dr McDonald:

"To observers nearly underneath, the colors green and blue were visible, and a pinkish cast was noted at times. A fibrous texture, described by several independent observers as resembling a "wood grain" appearance, was present over much of its northern extent, but its southern end was denser and more cumuliform."

Brother Branham knew the cloud "east of Flagstaff" was not directly above "40 miles north of Tucson". His point WAS, it occurred in the same general vicinity of the American Southwest.

Brother Branham also clearly indicates he was well aware the appearance of the cloud and the occurrence of his hunting trip were within a few days of each other. Please take special note of the following quotation.

COME.FOLLOW.ME 63-0601

When I come, one thing, was by a vision, that I was standing above Tucson up here when a blast went off. Brother Fred was there when it went off. And they took that picture, you know, in the sky. And I didn't think much about



it, never noticed it. so it begin to impress me somehow the other day. And Brother Norman, Norma's father here, told me, said, "Did you notice this?"

And just as I looked, right there was them angels just as plain as they could be, setting right there in that picture. You see? I looked to see when it was, and it was time, same 'bout DAY OR TWO BEFORE OR, DAY OR TWO AFTER I WAS UP THERE. I looked where it was at: northeast of Flagstaff, or Prescott, which is below Flagstaff. Well, that's just where we was at (See?), just exactly.

The essence of significance is: TWO supernatural events.

- 1)The Cloud
- 2) the blast when the angels appeared.

Brother Branham connected these events to his ministry.

One was a sign, (the mysterious cloud) and the other the Voice of the sign (the visitation of the angels with the commission, the message)

If the prophet would have felt we needed further clarification than the above he would have provided it. I am content with what he said. As with most supernatural occurrences, we generally have difficulty understanding their meanings and significance.

Each person will find exactly what they are looking for in the appearing of the cloud. If its conflicts and doubts, that's what they will see. If they are looking for a manifestation of the fulfillment of Scripture, they will see that.

I personally do not believe the Holy Spirit, Who was constantly manifested night after night in the prophet's ministry, would allow the prophet to perpetuate an untruth about the fulfillment of Scripture.

Because Brother Branham felt an unction to "turn the picture to the right" and he was also told the meaning of the "Supreme Authority of the White Wiggged One," and he pointed out specific images of the angels in the photograph, I simply believe the cloud was a manifestation of fulfillment of Scripture.

Witnesses recollect several concurring facts as told by Brother Branham on the tapes: the blast, the noise, the rocks rolling, and seeing a manifestation of a cloud.

Did Brother Sothmann outright lie?  
I cant believe that.

Sothmann's account:

"Reverend Branham and another brother, Gene Norman, and myself were well up into the mountains hunting javelina. I remember it was late in the afternoon, a clear warm day with not a cloud in the sky. The Three of us were spread out in different directions, perhaps about half a mile apart from each other. Then all of a sudden I heard this tremendous blast like a jet plane breaking the sound barrier, only much louder. Many rocks began to roll down the mountain not far from me. I instinctively looked over in the

direction of Brother Branham, but couldn't actually see him for he was behind a knoll. But just above him I saw this strange circular-shaped cloud rise into the air. It was kind of small at first, but the higher it rose the bigger it became."

Now was the prophet hunting on Sunset Mt 2-28-63?

It's inconclusive, and quite frankly incidental to me. I don't know if he was or he wasn't. Did the Cloud appearance bode spiritual significance?

Certainly.

The cloud WAS of mysterious origin, confounded the scientists, and as Brother Branham told us, was directly connected to his ministry, that of the appearing of the seven angels and revealing of the mysteries of the Seven Seals.

My faith in the prophet's message or belief in the supernatural does not hinge on the representation of the facts from one debunker to the next. My faith lies solely upon the power of the Resurrected Christ, Whom William Branham represented with his ministry and revelation of the Word. My faith is a personal experience with the Word that the prophet revealed. Regardless of what scarecrow Satan places in front of me, I fall back upon my personal experience of that revelation and know assuredly in Whom I believe.

The supernatural manifestation of the cloud was only TO the elected Bride. It wasn't for the unbelievers.

It's not within me to question its authenticity. Especially when the facts that remain to this day, still bear it out as mysterious.

TRYING.TO.DO.GOD.A.SERVICE 65-1127M

A mysterious thing here, THEY SAID THEY DON'T KNOW WHERE IT COME FROM; it's too high. It's above all the spheres and everything else to be... It's too high for fog, because it's thirty miles high and twenty-seven miles across after it got up that high. There's not even humidity nor nothing up there. You see? And they thought of a plane; so they checked all the places, no planes up that day. See, they have to, on account of shaking windows and things. "There's no planes up." Here it is right here in the magazine, will tell you the same thing. And--and it went on and on. And today right in the... Here it is in "Science" magazine, where they can't understand; they don't know what It is.

Tucson, at the university, a friend of mine went over the other day and was talking to them about it. Said, "WE CAN'T UNDERSTAND what..."

I said, "Don't say nothing, don't do no good. `Cast not your pearls before swine.'" See? IT'S TO THE CHURCH, TO THE ELECTED, THE CALLED-OUT. See?

Branhamites. You are deceived about this also. You people won't answer why Branham said truth can come through the **zodiac** will you? You people won't answer why he said it was ok to join the cult of the **Masonic lodge** will you? You people won't answer why he lied about the cloud either.

I have replied to all of you on the spam ema list someone sent to me, and you people

cannot admit Branham lied. He lied about that cloud. He lied about those angels. He lied about it was **ok to be a member of the Masonic lodge**. He was a liar. He was a **false prophet**. All of you had better repent of spreading his lies and come out of that man-made cult.

Pastor Reckart 1. It must come from the Bible

So far as the cloud over Flagstaff, Arizona is concerned, do you people not understand Branham lied. The cloud was never over Tucson 190 miles away to the South. Branham lied. The cloud was being blown by 130mph winds from the West from the Vandenberg explosion of a Thor rocket that malfunctioned that afternoon at the 140,000-147,000 elevation. Branham lied about this cloud. He did not mention it four (4) days later in his Houston meeting. He did not mention the strong blast. Many of you took his lies and did no research at all. Branham lied about the blast. The fact is, Sabino Canyon was a state park. Many hundreds went there every day. In 1963 they were expanding the park and were using dynamite explosive on some of the construction. This was in the south end of the canyon. Today the Sabino Canyon park has about one million visitors a year. Branham knew they were working on the expansion. He had to drive by all the signs and he had to read the signs about explosives being used. He claims such an explosion or blast but never once tells anyone they were working on the park when he was there. On the day of 2/28/1963 there were as many as 200-300 people visiting that canyon. How come none of them reported the blast as unusual? How come none of them saw this cloud rise from where Branham was at and go higher and higher into the air, bigger and bigger, and going right up to the 140,000 elevation? They did not because the cloud was never over Tucson and never over the area 40 miles north in Sabino Canyon. The cloud appeared over Flagstaff, Arizona being blown from the West at 130 miles an hour and that settles it.

The problem with you Branhamites is you will believe anything your prophet said even if it was false. You will accept his false doctrines all because of these alleged miracles and his claim of the pillar of fire and angels. Branham is dead. He is graveyard dead! **He tried to make out like he was Jesus when he claimed he held the King's sword and it fit his hand perfectly. Branham went nuts. He held séances with his dead wife Hope.** He said he saw his dogs in heaven. He lied, he lied, he lied. I pray some of you get your eyes back. I pray some of you get your right minds back. Branham is dead. He is not coming back. He is gone. He will not be resurrected again until the time the dead are judged and he will be judged LOST!

Repent all of your for spreading his lies and false doctrines. He claimed it was not wrong to join the Masonic Lodge. No wonder, **look at his Masonic tomb stone**. Branham claimed truth came through the zodiac. Do you people really believe this man was from GOD?

I am praying for all of you. LORD, in your name, in the name of Jesus deliver some soul from the bondage of this false Branhamite gospel. Amen!

Pastor Reckart Sent: Monday, August 04, 2003 6:59 AM Subject: Trying to do GOD a service

If I ever impress you brethren as a know-it-all, please forgive me. I don't mean to be that. I'm not intellectual. A brother only told me, he would see Jesus. And I said, if the prophet of this age is not false, you knew Jesus when you put the cloud into the connection with the spheres. It must be a type of the virgin-birth. Maria only incubated Jesus but she was not the mother; the egg came not from her. The upper stratosphere only incubated the cloud; but it was not the result of water, it was a supernatural result (angels).

Have you ever taken a pencil to draw this mysterious constellation. Here is my picture. It's GOD in simplicity: <http://www.bruder-branham.de/atmosph.jpg>

Open your eyes, come into the spirit of Him and replace your false descriptions "heigher than the atmosphere around the earth" and "because it is outside the earth's atmosphere".

GOD bless you. Hannes

[http://www.cephas-library.com/evangelists/evangelists\\_branham\\_2.html](http://www.cephas-library.com/evangelists/evangelists_branham_2.html) : Continued:  
Part 2

[WMB1.com](http://www.wmb1.com)

All logos and trademarks in this site are property of their respective owner. © 2004-10 WMB1.com : The comments are property of their posters. Web site engine's code is Copyright © 2003 by [PHP-Nuke](#). All Rights Reserved. PHP-Nuke is Free Software released under the [GNU/GPL license](#).  
Page Generation: 0.058 Seconds